

**Prohibition Cases in Hassan District.**

\*Q.—141. Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA (Belur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the number of Prohibition cases launched in Hassan District for the year 1959 April to February 1960 ;

(b) out of these cases how many ended in conviction ;

(c) the reasons assigned by the authorities for many failures of prosecution ?

A.—Sri N. RACHAIAH (Minister for Agriculture, Excise and Social Welfare).—

(a) 1,375 cases (from April 1959 to 15th February 1960).

(b) 1,122 cases.

(c) In about 250 unsuccessful cases, the mahazar-witnesses turned hostile resulting in the acquittal of cases.

Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA.—With reference to answer (b), may I know whether they are all undefended cases?

Sri N. RACHAIAH.—It is a matter of opinion. How can we say that ?

Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA.—The police have charge-sheeted these people and they are asked to say that they have committed offences. Is there not a mutual agreement ?

Sri N. RACHAIAH.—That is not correct.

Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA.—You were kind enough to tell us that owing to mahazar-witnesses turning hostile, 250 cases were unsuccessful. Are they all defended cases?

Sri N. RACHAIAH.—This is according to the Report received from the Police. If the Hon'ble Member has got any doubt, he may please write to Government and we will get it examined.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY.—Cannot the Hon'ble Minister say how many are defended cases and how many are undefended cases and whether they are not mamool cases ?

Sri N. RACHAIAH.—That is not correct. That information is not available. If the Hon'ble Member wants, I will get the information and supply it.

**Honorarium to the Chairman of State Khadi and Village Industries Board.**

\*Q.—163. Sri J. L. KABADI (Indi).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether the honorarium and allowance to be paid to the Chairman of the State Khadi and Village Industries Board has been fixed ;

(b) whether the State Board has made any recommendations in this connection ;

(c) if so, what are they ;

(d) to what extent the Government has accepted the recommendations ;

(e) the reasons for the delay in fixing the honorarium and other items pertaining to the post ;

(f) whether action has been taken on the written reply furnished on 26th August 1959 to the Question No. 292 ;

(g) if so, what is the action taken ?

A.—Smt. LEELAVATHI MAGADI VENKTESH (Deputy Minister for Rural Industries).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Yes.

(c) The Mysore State Khadi and Village Industries Board have recommended the following amenities for the Chairman :—

1. Honorarium at Rs. 500 p.m. from the date he assumed charge, viz., 23rd September 1957 ;

2. A free furnished residence ;

3. A touring van for facility of visiting the centres of activities of the Board in the entire State ; and

4. Conveyance allowance.

(d) These are under consideration.

(e) It is only the revision of the orders already passed that is under consideration and this requires some examination.

(f) The question is still under consideration.

(g) Does not arise.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಂ. ಕಪಾಡಿ.—26 ನೆಯ ಆಗಸ್ಟ್ 1959 ರಲ್ಲಿ 292 ನೆಯ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ಅವು

ಇನ್ನೂ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಯಲ್ಲವೆಯೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ, ಮತ್ತು "It is still under consideration" ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ, ಯಾವ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಯನ್ನೂ ಇಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ವರಗೊಳಿಸುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ನೀಡಿ ?

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲೀಲಾವತಿ ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್ ಮಾಗಡಿ.— ಗೌರವಾನ್ವಿತರೇ, ದಿನಾಂಕ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಅವರು ರೆಕಮೆಂಡೇಷನ್ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಇನ್ನೂ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಕೆಲವು ಕಾಲ ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಂ. ಕಬಾಡಿ.—ಎಷ್ಟು ಕಾಲ ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲೀಲಾವತಿ ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್ ಮಾಗಡಿ.— ಅದು ಫೈನಲ್ ಆಗುವವರೆಗೂ ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಂ. ಕಬಾಡಿ.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ನಮಿತಿ ಯನ್ನು ನೇಮಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲೀಲಾವತಿ ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್ ಮಾಗಡಿ.— ನಮಿತಿಯನ್ನು ನೇಮಿಸುವ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆ ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಂ. ಕಬಾಡಿ.—ಬೇರೆ ಬೇರೆ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ಸ್ ಖಾಸಿ ಮತ್ತು ಗ್ರಾಮೋದ್ಯೋಗದ ಬೋರ್ಡ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಚೇರ್ಮನ್‌ನಿಗೆ ಗೌರವ ವೇತನವನ್ನು ಫಿಕ್ಸ್ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ, 500 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳವರೆಗೂ ಫಿಕ್ಸ್ ಮಾಡಿ, ಎಲ್ಲಾ ತರಹ ಫೆನಿಲಿಟೀಸ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವಿಚಾರಿಸಿದೆಯೇ ಹೇಗೆ ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—In all the States, honorarium is not fixed. In some of the States they did not accept either honorarium or salary.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಂ. ಕಬಾಡಿ.—ಬಹಾರ, ಬೊಂಬಾಯಿ ಮತ್ತು ಒರಿಸ್ಸಾ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್‌ಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್‌ನವರು ತರಿಸಿದ ಇನ್‌ಫರ್ಮೇಷನ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಖಾಸಿ ಮತ್ತು ಗ್ರಾಮೋದ್ಯೋಗದ ಚೇರ್ಮನ್‌ನಿಗೆ 500 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಅನರ್‌ನಿಗಿಯಮ್, ರೆಸಿಡೆನ್ಸಿಯಲ್ ಫೆನಿಲಿಟೀಸ್ ಮತ್ತು ಅಮ್ಯುನಿಟೀಸ್ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆ ಇನ್‌ಫರ್ಮೇಷನ್ ನಮ್ಮ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಏತಕ್ಕೆ ತರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—As the Hon'ble Member already stated, it is not in all the States that the State Governments have offered honorarium or salary. It is a fact that in some of the States honorarium is paid to them. Here also the Government decided to pay a particular amount. It was not acceptable to the Chairman. The Board has made certain recommendations which are being considered.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಂ. ಕಬಾಡಿ.—ಮೈಸೂರು ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಖಾಸಿ ಮತ್ತು ಎರೇಜ್ ಇಂಡಸ್ಟ್ರಿ ಆಕ್ಟ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ 300 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಗೌರವ ವೇತನವನ್ನು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಚೇರ್ಮನ್‌ನಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದು ನಿಜವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲೀಲಾವತಿ ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್ ಮಾಗಡಿ.— ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಂ. ಕಬಾಡಿ.—ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚೇರ್ಮನ್‌ರವರು 15 ನೆಯ ಸೆಪ್ಟೆಂಬರ್ 1958 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಖ್ಯ

ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಬರೆದಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಇಲ್ಲವು ವರೆಗೂ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಶಕ್ಯವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಏತಕ್ಕೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಶಕ್ಯ ಇದೆ. ಇಲ್ಲ ಎನ್ನುವುದರ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಅದನ್ನು ಕನಸಿದರ್ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—So far as my knowledge goes, the term of the Chairman is three years. It is evident from the answer that he has been working since 23rd September 1957 and hardly six months remain for the expiry of his term. The question of fixing the honorarium is still under consideration. So, may I take it that the question of honorarium will be decided after the term expires ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The Hon'ble Member himself was in charge at the time when Sri Hallikeri was appointed as Chairman and at that time no honorarium was fixed. So, without honorarium he was appointed when I took over charge. We decided to give him Rs. 300. He is not satisfied with that and he is corresponding and that matter is under consideration.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—May I know whether any qualification is at all needed for this post, educational or otherwise ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It is necessary to know something about Khadi and Village Industries. Without that mere qualification will not help for this post.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Will the Government kindly assign reasons why the Chairman refused Rs. 300 and can the Board decide to enhance the honorarium fixed by the Government ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It is unnecessary for the Government to find out the reasons why he did not accept the honorarium decided by the Government. The Board can recommend a higher honorarium but the Government is the final authority to accept or reject it.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—The Chairman has been working since 23rd September 1957. I want to know what is the amount paid to the Chairman by way of honorarium or allowance.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲೀಲಾವತಿ ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್ ಮಾಗಡಿ.—ಈಗ ಅದು ಇಲ್ಲ; ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ತರಿಸಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಲ್. ಕಬಾಡಿ.—ಈ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿ ಎಷ್ಟೋ ಸಾರಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿದರೂ ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಮಿನಿಸ್ಟರು ಮತ್ತು ಚೀಫ್ ಮಿನಿಸ್ಟರು ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಮೋದಿಸಿಲ್ಲ. ಏನು ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೋ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ನರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಅದನ್ನು ಏತಕ್ಕೆ ಕನ್ಸಿಡರ್ ಮಾಡಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಬೇರೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳಿ.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The Government has taken a decision. The Government is competent to take a decision. It is not at all incompetent. Whether the decision is acceptable or not, it is left to the Chairman and not to the Government.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಕೆ ಎಸ್. ನಾಗರತ್ನಮ್ಮ.—ಈಗತಾನೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಲಾರದೆ ಕನ್ಸಿಡರೇಷನ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಇರತಕ್ಕ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಏಡಾ ಬಂಡಿತವಾಗಿ ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಏನು ಭಯ ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The Government is not afraid of taking any decision. It has taken a decision. The Government is reconsidering the proposal sent by the Chairman.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಬಿ. ಸೀತಾರಾಮರಾವ್.—ಪಾದಿ ಬೋರ್ಡಿಗೆ ಚೇರ್ಮನ್‌ರನ್ನು ನೇಮಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವಾಗ ಗೌರವ ವೇತನವನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ ಎಂದು ಕಂಡೀಷನ್ಸ್ ಹಾಕಿ ನೇಮಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The order was passed by the then Government. There was no mention of any condition regarding salary, honorarium or anything.

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—Will the Government be able to tell us what the amount Chairman has accepted as salary or allowance up till now?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—For the information of the Hon'ble member I can say that the Chairman has taken or drawn allowance, but not honorarium. What exactly is the total amount, I have no figures at hand. I will find out and inform him.

1-30 P.M.

Sri M. DANAPPA.—Is it the duty of the Board to work for the development of the Congress Organisation in the State?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Order, order. It is outside the scope of the question.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Will the Government kindly tell us, when he accepted the chairmanship of the Khadi Board? May I know the terms and conditions he imposed on this Government?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That question has been answered.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜಿ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ನರ್ಕಾರದವರೇನೂ ದರೂ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಅಂತಹ ಕಂಡೀಷನ್ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದರೇ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—When he gave his consent and accepted the job, in the absence of the salary, what is the status of the Chairman, what is his allowance; and how it possible for him to give his consent?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Either on the side of the Chairman or on the side of the Government, no conditions were prescribed. Government asked the Hon'ble Member to accept the post. He accepted it. Why he accepted and what were the conditions for accepting, is better known to the Hon'ble Member who accepted it. It is not known either to me or to Sri Muckannappa.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Sir, you were pleased to say that you fixed a particular sum as salary and not because the Chairman made counter-proposals, you were considering them. Whenever an incumbent goes on demanding, you go on reviewing the position. Would that procedure be applicable to the N.G.Os' case also?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member may not bring in the case of N.G.Os' in this question.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—That is all right, Sir. It may be I.A.S. Officers. Let them have it.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—The Chief Minister was pleased to say that the Chairman had drawn allowance. May I know whether it is T.A. and D.A.?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Both T.A. and D.A. have been drawn. What exactly is the amount, I will inform the Hon'ble Member afterwards.

Sri S. D. GAONKAR.—The Chief Minister was pleased to state that the question of free-furnished accommodation and honorarium was under consideration. If the Government agrees to give an honorarium of Rs. 500 and free furnished residence, may I know if the Chairman would be allowed to occupy this free-furnished residence even after the term expires?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—That is a hypothetical question. If wishes were horses, beggars might ride.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಲ್. ಕಬಾಡಿ.—ಖಾದಿ ಬೋರ್ಡು ಸರಿ ಯಾಗಿ, ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಬಾರದು ಎಂಬ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಜೇಮ್ಸ್ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಆನರೇರಿಯಂ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರ ಸೌಕರ್ಯ ಒದಗಿಸಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬುದು ನಿಜವೇ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The Board does not belong to the Chairman of the Hon'ble Member. It belongs to the Government and the public.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Are the Government satisfied with the functioning of the Board set up by them?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Yes, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Order, order. That is outside the scope of the question.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ನಾಗೂರ್.—ಈ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ತಾವೇ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದನ್ನು ತಾವೇ ಅಳಿಸಿದಂತಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Order, order.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಜಯ್ಯ.—ಹ್ಯಾಂಡ್‌ಲೂಂ ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ಯಾರ್ ಸ್ಟೇರ್ ಇಂಡಸ್ಟ್ರೀಸ್‌ನವರೂ ಇದೇ ರೀತಿ ಕಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಎನಾದರೂ ಡಿಮಾಂಡ್ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದಾರೆವೋ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Even if it is outside the scope of the question, I will say that they have not demanded it, Sir.

### Stay Order regarding Appointments of Candidates selected by the Public Service Commission.

\*Q.—206. Sri A. J. DODDAMETI (Ron).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it is a fact that they have issued stay order in respect of appointments of candidates selected by the Public Service Commission to various posts in different departments;

(b) if so, the number of posts and the number of candidates who come under this order together with the posts to which they are selected by the Public Service Commission;

(c) when the stay order was issued;

(d) the reasons for issuing the same, and when it will be withdrawn?

A.—Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—

(a) Consequent on the orders of the High Court in regard to the classification of backward classes which had been in force hitherto, the Public Service Commission was requested not to proceed with further recruitments, except in respect of candidates belonging to Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. But in view of the issue of the revised orders on 1st March 1960 regarding classification of backward classes, the Commission has since been requested to proceed with the selection of candidates.

(b) The categories of posts and the number of candidates whose appointments were held up as a result of the instruction issued by Government against appointments being made by the Public Service Commission subsequent to the date of the High Court judgment were as below:

<i>Categories of posts</i>	<i>Number of candidates</i>
Clerks, Class II	68
Middle School Teachers	35
Typists	16
Co-operative Society Inspectors	255
Assistant Port Conservators	111
Assistant Light Keepers	6
Probation Officers	94
II Division Clerks	2
Assistant Mistress	1
Octroi Inspector	1
Tailoring Instructor	1
Amins	2
II Division Mapper	1
Sewing Mistress	1
S.S.L.C. Proof Examiner	1
Laboratory Assistants	2

(c) and (d) The stay against further recruitment was issued on 27th November 1959 and has been withdrawn on 2nd March 1960.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ದೊಡ್ಡಮೇಟಿ.—ತಾವು ತಡೆಅಜ್ಜಿ 2 ನೆಯ ಮಾರ್ಚ್ 1960 ರಿಂದ ಹಿಂದಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಂಡಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಸೆರೆಕ್ಸ್ ಅದಂಥ ಉಪೇದವಾರರು ಇದ್ದಾರೆಲ್ಲಾ ಅವರನ್ನು ಈಗಿನ ಹೊಸ ಅಜ್ಜಿಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸೆರೆಕ್ಸ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಾ ಅಥವಾ ಹಿಂದೆ ಏನಿತ್ತೋ ಆ ನಿಯಮದ ಪ್ರಕಾರವೇ ಸೆರೆಕ್ಸ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಾ?